

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2016, a hearing was held before this Court to determine, among other things, whether to finally approve the proposed Class Settlement of these Class Actions and whether to approve the proposed Plan of Allocation for the net proceeds of the Class Settlement;

WHEREAS, it appears that a Notice of the hearing and proposed Plan of Allocation, substantially in the form approved by the Court, was mailed to all reasonably identified custody and trust customers of SSBT (including customers for which SSBT served as directed trustee, ERISA Plans, and Group Trusts), reflected in SSBT's records as having a United States tax address at any time during the period from January 2, 1998 through December 31, 2009, inclusive, and that executed one or more Indirect FX Transactions with SSBT and/or its subcustodians during the period from January 2, 1998 through December 31, 2009, inclusive;

WHEREAS, it further appears that a Summary Notice of the hearing and proposed Plan of Allocation, substantially in the form approved by the Court, was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over *PR Newswire*; and

WHEREAS, the Court has considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and is otherwise fully informed;

NOW, THEREFORE, after due deliberation, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Class Actions and over all parties to the Class Actions, including all Settlement Class Members, counsel, and the Claims Administrator.

2. All capitalized terms used herein have the meanings set forth and defined in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated as of July 26, 2016 (the “Settlement Agreement”).

3. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members, advising them of the proposed Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

4. There were no objections to the proposed Plan of Allocation.

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation for the calculation of the claims of Settlement Class Members that was set forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Actions, Proposed Class Settlement, Settlement Hearing, Plan of Allocation, and Any Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Litigation Expenses, and Service Awards disseminated to Settlement Class Members (the “Notice”) provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the net settlement proceeds among Settlement Class Members.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

7. In the event that the Class Settlement is terminated or does not become Final or the Effective Date does not occur in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, this order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

Dated: November 3 2016


HON. MARK L. WOLF
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE